

### Keith Kotay

2/1/2022

See last slide for Fair Use Notice & Disclaimer

#### Focal length

- Determines angle of view
  - Measured in millimeters  $\rightarrow$  24mm, 50mm, 135mm, etc.



### Focal length

Note: Focal length also affects magnification, along with minimum focus distance (MFD).

- Determines angle of view
  - Measured in millimeters  $\rightarrow$  24mm, 50mm, 135mm, etc.
  - Shorter focal length = wider angle of view



#### Focal length

Note: To find the equivalent angle of view for an APS-C sensor multiply by  $1.5 \rightarrow 50$ mm lens on APS-C is same AOV as 75mm on full frame sensor.

- Different focal lengths for different applications
  - Values often given for full frame (FF) sensors (35mm film size)
    - > APS-C (crop) sensor is 2/3 smaller  $\rightarrow$  angle of view is 2/3 smaller

Туре	FF Min	FF Max	APS-C Min	APS-C Max	Application
fisheye	8	15	5	10	special effects
ultra wide	12	20	8	13	landscape / architecture
wide	24	40	16	27	landscape / street
normal	45	55	30	37	general
long normal	60	80	40	53	general
short tele	85	135	57	90	portrait / sports
long tele	150	500	100	333	portrait / sports / birds
wide zoom	12 / 16	24 / 35	8 / 11	16 / 23	landscape / travel
normal zoom	24 / 28	70 / 85	16 / 19	47 / 57	general
tele zoom	70 / 100	200 / 300	47 / 67	133 / 200	portrait / sports / birds
ultra tele zoom	100 / 150	400 / 500	67 / 100	267 / 333	birds

#### Lens "speed"

Note: Depth of field (DOF) is the range of distances in an image which appear "acceptably sharp"  $\rightarrow$ increases as *f*-number increases.

- Maximum light gathering ability of the lens
  - Occurs when the lens is "wide open"  $\rightarrow$  minimum *f*-number
  - "Fast" lenses have a low *f*-number  $\rightarrow$  1.2, 1.4, 1.8, 2 (long FL)
    - > Lenses are focused wide open  $\rightarrow$  more light = faster & more accurate
    - > Bigger, heavier, more expensive, can achieve shallower DOF
    - > Possible aberrations wide open (optimized for speed)
  - "Slow" lenses have a higher *f*-number  $\rightarrow$  3.5, 4.0, 5.6, 6.3
    - Smaller, lighter, cheaper, minimum DOF is larger
    - May have fewer aberrations if well designed





Prime lenses vs. zoom lenses

Note: Some prime lenses are very expensive and have exceptional image quality.

- Prime lenses  $\rightarrow$  single focal length
  - Less complex
    - > Fewer lens elements (generally)  $\rightarrow$  smaller, lighter, cheaper
    - > Easier to design, except for wide angle and very expensive lenses
    - Higher image quality
- Zoom lenses  $\rightarrow$  range of focal lengths
  - More complex
    - > More lens elements, often using 'exotic' glass or shapes
    - > Bigger, heavier, more expensive (but can replace multiple primes)
    - > Harder to design  $\rightarrow$  reduced IQ at one end of the zoom range
    - Image quality usually less then an equivalent prime focal length
  - Zoom range is important
    - Larger range has more compromises
    - Common ranges: 2×, 3×, 5×, 10×

Note: Some zoom lenses are very, very expensive and have IQ that rivals primes.

#### Kit lenses

- Often a 'starter' lens sold with a low-cost body
  - Usually low cost to keep the package price down
  - In the film era it was a normal prime
    - > 35mm cameras  $\rightarrow$  50mm lens, easiest to design and build
    - > Best price/performance ratio
    - > Zooms were not cheap in the film era
  - For digital cameras it has become a zoom
    - > APS-C cameras  $\rightarrow$  18–55mm (equivalent to 24–82.5mm full frame)
    - > Modern CAD and plastics make low cost zooms with good IQ possible
    - > Image quality still not as high as primes
- FF digital cameras can have high quality kit lenses
  - \$1000 zoom kit lenses are common (FF is considered more 'pro')

#### Basic kit

Note: Prices given are for new Canon/Nikon full frame DSLR lenses, APS-C where noted. Third party lenses (Sigma, Tamron, etc.) can be much cheaper, mostly for zooms.

#### • Kit zoom

- Most people start with kit zoom on APS-C body
  - > IQ is decent, but lens is slow  $\rightarrow$  18–55mm f/3.5–5.6
  - Good for general photography
  - May not perform well in low light situations
- Fast normal prime  $\rightarrow$  better low light performance
  - Best lens to add first  $\rightarrow$  50mm f/1.4 or f/1.8 (on APS-C: 75mm)
    - Better IQ, reasonably priced: f/1.4 = \$400, f/1.8 = \$125
    - > APS-C equivalent normal focal length  $\rightarrow$  35mm f/1.8 = \$200
    - > APS-C users often buy a FF fast prime  $\rightarrow$  more selection, still cheap
    - > 50mm on APS-C = 75mm FF  $\rightarrow$  "long normal" (better for portraits)

#### Adding lenses $\rightarrow$ landscape

Note: Photographers often have 'favorite' wide angle focal lengths, it is a personal preference.

- Wide angle lenses for expansive scenes
  - Requirements
    - > Do not need to be fast  $\rightarrow$  usually shot stopped down for large DOF
    - > Do not need image stabilization  $\rightarrow$  landscape usually shot on tripod
  - Single lens  $\rightarrow$  24mm f/2.8 = \$400 or 20mm f/2.8 = \$600
    - > 24mm can be redundant if kit zoom covers 24mm, but higher IQ
    - > 20mm gives extra field of view
  - Two lenses  $\rightarrow 28$ mm = \$300 & 20mm or 24mm & 14/16mm
    - > 28mm isn't that wide for landscape, but can also be used for street
    - > <20mm can produce great shots, but use can be limited, and pricey</p>
  - Wide  $zoom \rightarrow 16-35mm = \$1100$  or 12-24mm = \$1150
    - Small focal length changes make a big difference for wide lenses
    - > Much more convenient to cover most focal lengths with a single lens
    - > More expensive, heavier, but replacing the cost of several primes

#### Adding lenses $\rightarrow$ portrait

Note: If the camera is close to the subject the nose can appear larger due to being closer to camera than eyes.

- Short telephoto to long telephoto
  - Requirements
    - > Telephoto  $\rightarrow$  good subject isolation (FL may depend on body or head shot)
    - > Telephoto minimizes distortion  $\rightarrow$  camera not too close to subject
    - > Fast lenses for minimal DOF  $\rightarrow$  face, or just eyes, in focus
  - Single lens  $\rightarrow$  85mm f/1.2, f/1.4, or f/1.8 (on APS-C: 127.5mm)
    - > f/1.2 lenses are very high quality and very expensive  $\rightarrow$  \$2000
    - > f/1.4 lenses are high quality and expensive  $\rightarrow$  \$1600
    - → f/1.8 lenses are very good quality and much less expensive → \$400
  - Single lens  $\rightarrow$  135mm f/2 or f/2.8 (on APS-C body: 202.5mm)
    - > More subject isolation, larger, heavier, more expensive  $\rightarrow$  \$1000
  - Zoom  $\rightarrow$  70-200mm f/2.8 (on APS-C body: 105-300mm)
    - > Versatile in dynamic situation (weddings), quality is very high  $\rightarrow$  \$2100
    - > f/4 is a bit too slow, but could be used for weddings  $\rightarrow$  \$1300

#### Adding lenses $\rightarrow$ street

- Maximum light gathering ability of the lens
  - Requirements
    - ➤ Small size → people are intimidated by big lenses
    - > Does not need to be fast, unless shooting at night
    - > Light weight  $\rightarrow$  easy to carry around all day
    - > Can be manual focus  $\rightarrow$  set fixed focus distance (can shoot "from the hip")
  - Single lens  $\rightarrow$  28mm or 35mm, f/2 or f/2.8, \$300-\$600
    - Wide angle allows for more framing error (for quick shots)
    - Can crop later
  - Can also use 50mm → more reach for distant subjects
    Getting too close can upset people
  - Zoom  $\rightarrow$  kit zoom may be best, although a bit slow
    - > 'Pro' normal zooms (f/2.8) are larger and may be too noticeable

### Adding lenses $\rightarrow$ sports

Note: Teleconverters do not work on all lenses. There can be IQ loss, since TC's magnify a portion of the image circle.

- Telephoto lenses to capture action at a distance
  - Requirements
    - Fast lenses for higher shutter speeds
    - Image stabilization is very useful (if stabilization is not in the body)
    - Focal length depends on sport and how close you can get
  - Single lens  $\rightarrow$  300mm f/2.8 = \$6000 (if you're a pro)
    - Seen at football games, heavy, usually on a monopod
  - Single lens  $\rightarrow$  135mm f/2 =\$1000 (on APS-C: 202.5 mm)
    - > Much more practical than a 300mm, best on APS-C body
    - ≻ Can add a teleconverter for more reach  $\rightarrow$  1.4× = \$500 or 2× = \$600
    - > Teleconverter tradeoff  $\rightarrow$  1.4× adds 1 stop, 2× adds 2 stops
    - > Example  $\rightarrow$  135mm  $f/2 + 1.4 \times$  TC = 189mm f/2.8 (283.5mm on APS-C)
  - Zoom  $\rightarrow$  70-200mm f/2.8 =\$2100 (+ teleconverter if needed)
    - > 70-200mm f/4 =\$1200, but adding the TC makes it even slower

#### Adding lenses $\rightarrow$ birds

Note: These recommendations are for general bird photography—if you have birds in your backyard you can use shorter lenses.

- Super telephoto  $\rightarrow$  birds are small and far away
  - Requirements
    - > Focal length is primary  $\rightarrow$  400mm and up
    - > A teleconverter can be used with shorter lenses
    - Image stabilization is very useful (if stabilization is not in the body)
  - Prime lens  $\rightarrow$  400mm or 500mm *f*/8 reflex = \$250
    - Reflex lenses use a mirror like a telescope
    - > They have issues (bokeh), but they are much less expensive
    - > The 'pro' super telephoto primes are very expensive  $\rightarrow$  \$3600 and up
  - Zoom  $\rightarrow$  Sigma/Tamron 150–600mm f/5–6.3 = ~\$1100
    - > Affordable super zooms are usually third party
  - Zoom  $\rightarrow$  Nikon 200–500mm f/5.6 =\$1400
    - Reasonable price if you have a Nikon body

#### Adding lenses $\rightarrow$ travel photography

- Super zoom  $\rightarrow$  all-in-one lens
  - Requirements
    - Large zoom range to minimize lens changes and reduce weight
    - > Image quality not as good as multiple lens kits, but a good trade off
    - Image stabilization is very useful (if stabilization is not in the body)
  - Zoom  $\rightarrow$  18-200mm *f*/3.5-5.6 = \$650
    - > APS-C equivalent  $\rightarrow$  27-300mm angle of view
    - Long end IQ might be iffy for large prints (fine for web or competitions)
  - Zoom  $\rightarrow$  18-135mm *f*/3.5-5.6 = \$600
    - > APS-C equivalent  $\rightarrow$  27-202.5mm angle of view
    - Smaller zoom range may provide higher image quality
    - Less reach could be a negative on safari

### Adding lenses $\rightarrow$ macro

Note: Macro lenses are not as fast as true portrait lenses, and may be less 'flattering' to subjects (postprocessing can help).

- Special equipment for getting close
  - Requirements
    - > 1:1 magnification  $\rightarrow$  subject is same size on sensor as in real world
    - > Longer focal lengths provide more "working distance"
    - > Stabilization not that useful at  $1:1 \rightarrow$  tripod or flash needed
    - Macro lenses are usually primes, zooms rarely provide 1:1
    - > Autofocus is useful at times, but a focusing rail is best at 1:1
  - Prime  $\rightarrow$  100mm or 105mm *f*/2.8 = \$1000
    - Good working distance, best for insects (can also be used for portrait)
    - Shorter focal lengths are lighter and cheaper, but less useful in general
    - > Some third party versions about half the price  $\rightarrow$  still good quality
  - Exotic primes can achieve up to 5:1 magnification
    - > Very difficult to use, hair thin DOF
    - Some are cheap (\$200, low quality?), others can be expensive (\$1000)

#### Body brand vs. third-party

- Body brand pros & cons
  - Pro: autofocus is likely to be faster and more reliable
  - Pro: higher resale value
  - Con: more expensive
- Third-party pros & cons (Sigma, Tamron, Tokina, etc.)
  - Pro: less expensive, sometimes much more so
  - Con: autofocus can be slower and less accurate
  - Pro: may offer lenses unavailable in body brand
- Third-party pros & cons (Voigtlander, Zeiss)
  - Pro: very high quality, as good or better than body brand
  - Con: only prime lenses available
  - Pro: offer manual focus lenses (for people who like using them)
  - Con: can cost as much or more than body brand lenses

### What about mirrorless?

Note: Last year more mirrorless cameras were sold than DSLRs. The future appears to be mirrorless...

- Mirrorless cameras do not have a moving mirror
  - Viewfinder image is displayed by a small LCD
  - Cameras and lenses can be smaller, but may not be
    - > High quality FF lenses are as big & heavy as those for DSLRs
    - > The exception is micro 4/3 which has a much smaller sensor
- Focal length choices are the same as DSLRs
  - Initially fewer lenses available, now things are shifting
  - Some brands make certain lenses only for mirrorless
  - Mirrorless cameras are more suited to using legacy lenses
    - Many adapters are available for old manual focus lenses
    - > Quality of old lenses can vary greatly
- Prices aren't that much different
  - Some inexpensive lenses and some very expensive lenses

# Fair Use Notice & Disclaimer

This presentation contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. I am making such material available for educational purposes. I believe this constitutes a fair use of any such copyrighted material as provided for in section 107 of the US Copyright Law. In accordance with Title 17 U.S.C. Section 107, the material in this presentation is distributed without profit to those who have attended the presentation for their educational use.

Please address any comments or requests to remove copyrighted material to: contact@bellallum.kotay.net